Permutation Parity

Lucas Bachmann

February 12, 2024

1 Intro

A warning to all: while I will try my best to be as clear and simple as possible, I will leaning into mathematical and programming notation where I feel is appropriate. I highly suggest getting yourself familiar with this, as it will make any future endeavours into mathematics and computer science a lot more bearable.

Furthermore, this document is an extension of a blog post on my website, luckys-corner.com, titled "Impossible! An Introduction to Invariants". As such, there will be references to it in this article, although this document should still be self-contained and readable as is.

2 Permutation Parity

Throughout this section, I will write down a permutation as follows:

Definition 1. A permutation of $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ is a list of n numbers such that each number from 1 to n appears in the list exactly once.

If l is one such list, I will explicitly write down it's content using square brackets (e.g. [3, 4, 6, 1, 5, 2]), and I will use l[k] to refer to the k^{th} element of the list (e.g. if l = [3, 4, 6, 1, 5, 2], then l[3] = 6 and l[6] = 2).

In the context of balls and pedestals from the blog post, we say that l[k] is the number of the ball sitting on pedestal k.

In particular, we'll define the initial permutation I_n to be the list of n numbers that satisfies I[k] = k for every k i.e. all of the balls are on the pedestal with the same number. For instance, $I_6 = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]$.

Next, we'll need to define a swap:

Definition 2. A swap of elements i and j (for $i \neq j$) is an operation that takes a list, and returns the list with the numbers at position i and j swapped. We'll denote the swap of elements i and j over list l as (i, j)l.

So for instance (2, 4)[3, 4, 6, 1, 5, 2] = [3, 1, 6, 4, 5, 2] and (5, 6)[3, 4, 6, 1, 5, 2] = [3, 4, 6, 1, 2, 5]. In particular, we'll allow ourselves to chain these swap operations together, so (2, 4)(5, 6)(2, 5)[3, 4, 6, 1, 5, 2] = (2, 4)(5, 6)[3, 5, 6, 1, 4, 2] = (2, 4)[3, 5, 6, 1, 2, 4] = [3, 1, 6, 5, 2, 4].

We'll also say that a swap is a neighbour swap if it swaps elements that are next to each other (e.g. (1,2) or (5,6)).

Finally, we'll define when a permutation is even or odd:

Definition 3. A permutation l of n numbers is generated by swaps a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k if $l = a_k \ldots a_2 a_1 I_n$. A permutation l is even if it is generated by an even number of swaps. A permutation l is odd if it is generated by an odd number of swaps.

For instance, [3, 4, 6, 1, 5, 2] = (1, 4)(2, 6)(3, 4)(4, 6)[1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6], thus [3, 4, 6, 1, 5, 2] is generated by the swaps (4, 6), (3, 4), (2, 6), (1, 4) and so it is an even permutation. Similarly, [3, 4, 6, 1, 2, 5] = (1, 4)(2, 5)(3, 4)(4, 5)(5, 6)[1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6], thus [3, 4, 6, 1, 2, 5] is generated by the swaps (5, 6), (4, 5), (3, 4), (2, 5), (1, 4) and so it is an odd permutation.

Note that the way even and odd permutations were defined does not make them mutually exclusive; it could be the case that a permutation is generated by both an even number of swaps, and an odd number of swaps. We'll show now that this cannot be the case, by considering a calculable quantity.

For i < j, define

$$out_{i,j}(l) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } l[i] < l[j] \\ 1 \text{ if } l[i] > l[j] \end{cases}$$

which indicates if the list is 'decreasing'. For instance, if l = [3, 4, 6, 1, 5, 2], then $out_{1,3}(l) = 0$ since l[1] = 3 < 6 = l[3], and $out_{2,4}(l) = 1$ since l[2] = 4 > 1 = l[4].

We'll furthermore define

$$tout(l) = \sum_{i < j} out_{i,j}(l)$$

to be the sum of $out_{i,j}(l)$ over all possible values of i and j. Below is the table for permutations of $\{1, 2, 3\}$:

l	tout(l)
[1, 2, 3]	0
[1, 3, 2]	1
[2, 1, 3]	1
[2, 3, 1]	2
[3, 1, 2]	2
[3, 2, 1]	3

Our first results is as follows:

Lemma 1. Let l be a permutation, and consider some neighbour swap s = (i, i+1). If tout(l) is even, then tout(sl) is odd. Similarly, if tout(l) is odd, then tout(sl) is even.

Proof. We have the following equalities:

- if m, k is not equal to i or i+1, and m < k then $out_{m,k}(l) = out_{m,k}(sl)$.
- if k < i, then $out_{k,i}(l) = out_{k,i+1}(sl)$ and $out_{k,i+1}(l) = out_{k,i}(sl)$.
- if k > i + 1, then $out_{i,k}(l) = out_{i+1,k}(sl)$ and $out_{i+1,k}(l) = out_{i,k}(sl)$.

therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} tout(l) - out_{i,i+1}(l) &= \sum_{\substack{k < m \\ k, m \neq i, i+1}} out_{k,m}(l) + \sum_{k < i} (out_{k,i}(l) + out_{k,i+1}(l)) \\ &+ \sum_{i+1 < k} (out_{i,k}(l) + out_{i+1,k}(l)) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k < m \\ k, m \neq i, i+1}} out_{k,m}(sl) + \sum_{k < i} (out_{k,i+1}(sl) + out_{k,i}(sl)) \\ &+ \sum_{i+1 < k} (out_{i+1,k}(sl) + out_{i,k}(sl)) \\ &= tout(sl) - out_{i,i+1}(sl) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $tout(sl) = tout(l) + (out_{i,i+1}(sl) - out_{i,i+1}(l))$. Now, since we are swapping l[i] and l[i+1], therefore if $out_{i,i+1}(l) = 0$, then $out_{i,i+1}(sl) = 1$, and if $out_{i,i+1} = 1$, then $out_{i,i+1}(sl) = 0$, thus $out_{i,i+1}(sl) - out_{i,i+1}(l) = \pm 1$. Therefore, if tout(l) is even, then tout(sl) is odd, and if tout(l) is odd, then tout(sl) is even.

Technically, this proof tells us a little more, namely that the value of tout(l) after a neighbour swap changes by exactly 1.

This result allows us to build up to the case when s is a general swap. This is because we can decompose the swap (i, j) into a sequence of neighbour swaps as follows (let a = l[i] and b = l[j]):

- 1. If a and b are separated by n spaces, we perform n-1 neighbour swaps to bring b next to a.
- 2. We swap a and b.
- 3. We perform another n-1 neighbour swaps to bring a back to where b began.

for a total of $2 \cdot n - 1$ neighbour swaps. For instance, we have (1, 4)l = (3, 4)(2, 3)(1, 2)(2, 3)(3, 4)l for any permutation l with at least 4 elements. The fact that $2 \cdot n - 1$ is odd is very important, because it gives us the following general statement.

Lemma 2. Let l be a permutation, and consider some general swap s = (i, j). If tout(l) is even, then tout(sl) is odd. Similarly, if tout(l) is odd, then tout(sl) is even.

Proof. Suppose tout(l) is even. Then, from the above remark, we can decompose s into an odd number of neighbour swaps $t_1, t_2, t_3, \ldots, t_k$ i.e. $sl = t_k \ldots t_3 t_2 t_1 l$. We thus get by repeatedly applying Lemma 1 that, if tout(l) is even, then $tout(t_1l)$ is odd, which implies $tout(t_2t_1l)$ is even, which implies $tout(t_3t_2t_1l)$ is odd etc. Since we have an odd number of neighbour swaps, we are flipping the 'evenness' of the value of tout an odd number of times, which means $tout(t_k \ldots t_3t_2t_1l) = tout(sl)$ is odd. A similar argument can be made if tout(l) is odd.

All of this brings us to the result that is permutation parity:

Theorem 1. If a permutation l is even, then tout(l) is even. Similarly, if a permutation l is odd, then tout(l) is odd. In particular, since tout(l) can't be both even and odd, this means a permutation l can't be both even or odd.

Proof. We perform a similar proof to that of Lemma 2: namely if l is of length n, we write $l = s_k \ldots s_2 s_1 I_n$. We note that $tout(I_n) = 0$ because the list is in order, so all of the components $out_{i,j}(l) = 0$ for all i < j. Therefore, since $tout(I_n)$ is even, we get $tout(s_1 I_n)$ is odd, so $tout(s_2 s_1 I_n)$ is even etc. If l is even, this means that the sequence s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k has an even number of swaps, thus $tout(l) = tout(s_k \ldots s_2 s_1 I_n)$ is even. Similarly, if l is odd, then $tout(l) = tout(s_k \ldots s_2 s_1 I_n)$ is odd. \Box

3 Further Thoughts

If you are familiar with some Group Theory, then you might be more familiar with permutations in the form of cycles e.g. [2, 4, 6, 1, 5, 3] = (1, 2, 4)(3, 6). In this case, the equivalent formulation of permutation parity is as follows: a permutation is even if it has an even number of even-length cycles, and odd otherwise. So, for instance (1, 2)(3, 4) is even, while (1, 2, 3)(4, 5) is odd. In this case, the difficulty would be proving that applying a swap (or a 2-cycle) changes the 'evenness' of the number of even-length cycles (which is true; in fact, applying a 2-cycle changes the number of even-length cycles by exactly 1).